arXiv:0704.2744v1 [math.AG] 20 Apr 2007

ALGEBRAIC INTERPRETATION OF NAHM TRANSFORM FOR INTEGRABLE CONNECTIONS

by

Szilárd Szabó

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Nahm transform for parabolic connections 3
2. Laplace transform without parabolic structure 8
3. Meromorphic connections and $\mathcal{D}$-modules 10
4. Minimal parabolic Laplace transform 14
5. Proof – outline 17
6. Proof of the propositions 20
References 28

Introduction

Nahm transform is an involutive integral transformation in the theory of 4-manifolds. Its most standard version applies to solutions of the anti-self-dual (ASD) Yang-Mills equations on a vector bundle over $\mathbf{R}^4$, invariant under a closed additive subgroup, and with finite energy on the quotient. It maps such a solution to a solution of the ASD equations on the dual vector space $(\mathbf{R}^4)^*$, invariant with respect to the dual subgroup.

When the first subgroup is $\mathbf{R}^2$, invariant solutions of the ASD equations are holomorphic connections on $\mathbf{C}$ together with a harmonic metric (see [**3**]). Nahm transformation is then a map

$$\{\text{Integrable connections on } \mathbf{CP}^1\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Integrable connections on } \widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1\}$$

where we have denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ the dual complex projective line. In [**8**], we described this transform for holomorphic connections with a harmonic metric on the Riemann sphere $\mathbf{CP}^1$ with a finite number of regular singularities in $\mathbf{C}$, and an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1 at infinity, and endowed with a parabolic structure at all singular points. It is a general feature of Nahm transformation that it induces a hyper-Kähler isomorphism between moduli spaces. However, it is in general defined using L^2-cohomology, hence this property is not obvious. The aim of this paper is to give an algebraic interpretation of Nahm transform in the de Rham setup. An immediate consequence is that Nahm transform commutes with the complex structures of the de Rham theories on the moduli spaces of stable integrable connections on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$. On the other hand, an algebraic interpretation of the transform in terms of Dolbeault theory was already given in [**8**]; hence, this work gives a de Rham analog of some of the results contained there.

The algebraic interpretation of the transform is as follows. It is well-known that the category of holomorphic connections is equivalent to that of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules of finite rank, and this equivalence is compatible with parabolic structures. On the other hand, there exists a so-called minimal (Fourier-)Laplace transform for $\mathcal{D}$-modules without a parabolic structure (see e.g. [**4**]). Claude Sabbah extended in [**5**] this construction to the case with parabolic structure at the singularities. We shall review this extension in Section 2. He also asked whether Nahm transform and parabolic minimal Laplace transform agree under the above-mentioned equivalence of categories. Our main result is an affirmative answer to this question (see Theorem 4.2).

Acknowledgments. — I would like to express my gratitude to Claude Sabbah for drawing my attention to this question, and for his useful remarks during the preparation of this paper. Part of this work was done during my stay at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn: I would like to thank for the hospitality and excellent working conditions. I finished this paper while I was staying at the Alféd Rényi Institute of Mathematics in Budapest, that I wish to thank for the scholarship provided.

1. Nahm transform for parabolic connections

We briefly explain the first transform. Let $\mathbf{C}$ denote the complex line with its canonical holomorphic coordinate $x = x_1 + ix_2$ (denoted z in [**8**]), and $\mathbf{CP}^1$ stand for its one-point compactification, the Riemann sphere. The point at infinity will be denoted ∞. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on $\mathbf{CP}^1$, whose underlying $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vector bundle and holomorphic structure will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(E)$ and $\bar{\partial}^E$ respectively. Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be a fixed finite set in $\mathbf{C}$, the singular locus at finite distance. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}^1$ stand for the sheaf of holomorphic functions and holomorphic 1-forms on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ respectively. We will denote by $\mathbf{\Omega}^1(*P \cup \{\infty\})$ the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms with poles of arbitrary order in the points of P and at infinity, and no other poles. Let ∇ be a meromorphic connection on E with poles in $P \cup \{\infty\}$:

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}^1(*P \cup \{\infty\}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E.$$

We suppose that ∇ is logarithmic in the points of P, i.e. in a local holomorphic trivialization of E near a point $p_j \in P$, it can be written

$$d + M^j dx$$

with M^j a matrix whose coefficients have at most a simple pole. We also suppose that the residue of ∇ in all p_j is semi-simple, and hence in a suitable trivialization it can be written

$$d + A^j \frac{dx}{x - p_j} + \text{holomorphic terms},$$

where A^j is a diagonal matrix

$$A^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \mu^j_{r_j+1} & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \mu^j_r \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

with $\mu^j_k \neq 0$ for $r_j < k \leq r$. Let E be endowed with a parabolic structure in P: this means that there exists a decreasing exhaustive filtration $E^{\bullet}_{p_j}$ of E_{p_j} indexed by a finite set of $[0,1[$, compatible with the residue in the sense that all $E^{\beta}_{p_j}$ is generated by the first k elements of the above diagonalizing trivialization of A^j, for a suitable $k = k(\beta)$ between 0 and r. The numbers $\beta^j_k \in [0,1[$ such that the graded pieces $\mathrm{gr}^{\beta^j_k} E^{\bullet}_{p_j}$ of the filtration are non-trivial are called parabolic weights.

Remark 1.1. — The parabolic structure is supposed to code the behavior near p_j of a harmonic metric; namely, for a holomorphic section σ extending a vector $e \neq 0$ of $\mathrm{gr}^{\beta} E^{\bullet}_{p_j}$, we require that the metric has the asymptotic behavior

$$|\sigma| \approx |e||x - p_j|^{\beta},$$

where the left-hand-side is the norm of σ with respect to the metric, $|e|$ is some real number, and $\approx$ means that the quotient of the two sides converges to 1 as $x \to p_j$. In fact, one can define the notion of parabolic structure for connections with arbitrary logarithmic singularities at finite distance (not necessarily semi-simple ones), and Nahm transform probably carries through to this setup too. In this case, we also need to consider the weight filtration of the nilpotent part of the residue on E_{p_j}, and the harmonic metrics we are interested in have a more refined behavior

$$|\sigma| \approx |e||x - p_j|^{\beta} \log(|x - p_j|)^{w},$$

where w is the weight of e in the weight filtration (see [**7**]). However, in this paper we will stick to the semi-simple case.

Definition 1.2. — We say that the parabolic structure is *admissible* if $\mathrm{gr}^0 E^{\bullet}_{p_j}$ is equal to the eigenspace of the residue for the 0-eigenvalue (or both vector spaces are 0).

Remark 1.3. — The construction of Nahm transform relies heavily on this notion: without assuming admissibility, we lose the information on the parabolic structure on the 0-eigenspace, and we cannot recover it after applying Nahm transform twice; in other words, the transform is no longer invertible.

The behavior of the singularity at infinity is supposed to be of Poincaré rank 1, with diagonalizable polar part: in a suitable trivialization of E near ∞, it can be written

$$d + M^{\infty} dx,$$

where M^{∞} is a holomorphic matrix, and such that

$$M^{\infty} = A + \frac{C}{x}. \tag{2}$$

Here

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & \xi_1 & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & \xi_{n'} & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \xi_{n'} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^{\infty} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_r^{\infty} \end{pmatrix}$$

are constant diagonal matrices, the eigenvalue ξ_l of A being displayed on the successive places $1 + a_l, \dots, a_{1+l}$ of the diagonal. Finally, we suppose given a parabolic structure in this singularity too: this is again a decreasing exhaustive filtration $E^{\bullet}_{\infty}$ of E_{∞} indexed by a finite set of $[0, 1[$ (the parabolic weights β^{∞}_k at infinity), compatible with the polar part of the connection. Again, the parabolic structure is supposed to code the behavior of a harmonic metric near infinity: a holomorphic section σ extending a non-zero vector in $\mathrm{gr}^{\beta_k^\infty} E_\infty^\bullet$ is required to have the asymptotics

$$|\sigma| \approx |x|^{-\beta_k^\infty} \tag{3}$$

up to a constant multiple.

The following notion will be crucial for several statements in our paper.

Definition 1.4. — We say that the connection is *resonance-free* if the following conditions hold:

1. for all $p_j \in P$ and $r_j < k \leq r$ one has $\mu_k^j \notin \mathbf{Z}$
2. for all $1 \leq k \leq r$, one has $\mu_k^\infty \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

As usual, we call parabolic degree of (E, ∇) the real number

$$\text{par-deg}(E) = \deg(E) + \sum_{j \in P \cup \{\infty\}, k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}} \beta_k^j, \tag{4}$$

and *parabolic slope* the number

$$\text{par-sl}(E) = \frac{\text{par-deg}(E)}{\text{rk}(E)}. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we say that (E, ∇) is (parabolically) stable if any ∇-invariant holomorphic sub-bundle F, endowed with the induced parabolic structure, satisfies

$$\text{par-sl}(F) < \text{par-sl}(E). \tag{6}$$

Important for our arguments is the following:

Theorem 1.5 **(C.Sabbah, O.Biquard-Ph.Boalch)**

If (E, ∇) is a parabolically stable holomorphic connection on a punctured compact curve with arbitrary diagonalizable polar parts, then there exists a unique harmonic metric (up to multiplication by a constant) behaving as required in Remark 1.1 and in (3).

A harmonic metric is one that satisfies a certain second-order non-linear partial differential equation that we do not give here, see e.g. [**3**] or [**7**].

From now on, we will suppose that (E, ∇) is a stable, resonance-free meromorphic connection on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ having regular singularities with semisimple residues in P, an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1 at infinity with diagonalizable polar part, and endowed with an admissible parabolic structure in all singularities.

Denote by $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ the dual complex line of $\mathbf{C}$, and by $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ the one-point compactification of $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$. Given (E, ∇) as above, we define in [**8**] a holomorphic bundle $\widehat{E}$ on $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ and a meromorphic connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ with semisimple regular singularities in the points $\widehat{P} = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n'}\}$, and an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1 at infinity with diagonalizable polar part, called the Nahm transform of (E, ∇), with an admissible parabolic structure in all singularities. In what follows, we outline its construction. First, consider the differential-geometric flat connection

$$D = \bar{\partial}^E + \nabla : \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \longrightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{C}^\infty(E),$$

where Ω^p stands for smooth p-forms. Let now $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}} - \widehat{P}$ be a parameter and twist D by the formula

$$D_\xi = D - \xi dx.$$

Consider the elliptic differential complex

$$\Omega^0 \otimes E \xrightarrow{D_\xi} \Omega^1 \otimes E \xrightarrow{D_\xi} \Omega^2 \otimes E. \tag{7}$$

It is possible to show that the 0-th and 2-nd L^2-cohomologies of this complex vanish for all ξ, and that its 1-st L^2-cohomology $L^2H^1(D_\xi)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, whose dimension is independent of ξ. We let the fiber of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\widehat{E})$ over the point ξ be $L^2H^1(D_\xi)$. As ξ varies, by the implicit function theorem in Hilbert space, these finite-dimensional subspaces of $L^2(\Omega^1 \otimes E)$ define a smooth vector bundle $\widehat{E}$ over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} - \widehat{P}$. It inherits a holomorphic structure from the trivial holomorphic structure $\widehat{d}^{0,1}$ on the trivial Hilbert bundle $L^2(\Omega^1 \otimes E)$, and a holomorphic connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ from $\widehat{d}^{1,0} - xd\xi$. The fiber $\widehat{E}_\xi$ also has an interpretation as the L^2-kernel of the Laplace operator

$$\Delta_\xi = D_\xi D_\xi^* + D_\xi^* D_\xi, \tag{8}$$

where D_ξ^* is the adjoint of the connection operator with respect to the harmonic metric; in other words as the space of L^2 harmonic 1-forms. The L^2-norm of such a 1-form defines a Hermitian metric $\widehat{h}_\xi$ on the fiber $\widehat{E}_\xi$, and these fiber metrics vary smoothly with ξ over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} - \widehat{P}$. This metric is called the transformed metric, and one can prove that if the original parabolic structure is admissible, then $\widehat{h}$ induces an admissible parabolic structure on $\widehat{E}$ in the points of $\widehat{P} \cup \widehat{\infty}$. Furthermore, one can prove that if the original metric h is harmonic, then the same thing holds for $\widehat{h}$. In particular, if the original connection (E, ∇) is stable, then so is $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{\nabla})$. Finally, we obtain an explicit description of the singularity behavior of $\widehat{\nabla}$ in the singular set; in particular, we can show that if ∇ is resonance-free, then so is $\widehat{\nabla}$.

2. Laplace transform without parabolic structure

In this section, we recall the classical notions of a module over the Weyl-algebra and its Laplace-transform.

Let $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$ denote the Weyl algebra in the variable x: this is the algebra of polynomial differential operators over $\mathbf{C}$, generated by the formal variables x and ∂_x and the relation $[x, \partial_x] = -1$. Let M be a left $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module; this means that we have an action of x and ∂_x on the elements of M, satisfying

$$x \cdot (\partial_x \cdot m) - \partial_x \cdot (x \cdot m) = -m.$$

In what follows, when we speak of module, we will always mean left-module, unless the contrary is stated explicitly. Let ξ be another variable. Then there exists an isomorphism between the Weyl algebras $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$ and $\mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$:

$$\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$$

$$x \mapsto -\partial_\xi \tag{9}$$

$$\partial_x \mapsto \xi. \tag{10}$$

A $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module M automatically becomes a $\mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$-module via this isomorphism; we denote this resulting module $\widehat{M}$, and call it the Laplace-transform of M. In the same way, a $\mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$-module N becomes automatically a $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module, denoted $\check{N}$ and called the inverse Laplace-transform of N. These operations are clearly inverses of each other.

2.1. Interpretation as a cokernel. — It is well-known that Laplace transform has an interpretation as a cokernel (see [**2**] Lemma 7.1.4). We recall this interpretation here. Denote by

$$\mathbf{C}[x,\xi]\langle\partial_x,\partial_\xi\rangle$$

the Weyl-algebra in the variables x and ξ, i.e. the algebra over $\mathbf{C}$ generated by the variables $x,\xi,\partial_x,\partial_\xi$ and relations

$$[x,\partial_x]=-1$$
$$[\xi,\partial_\xi]=-1.$$

Let the variables ξ,∂_ξ act trivially on M; this induces a $\mathbf{C}[x,\xi]\langle\partial_x,\partial_\xi\rangle$-module

$$\mathbf{M}=M\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C}[\xi]$$

with x,∂_x acting on M and ξ,∂_ξ acting on $\mathbf{C}[\xi]$ in the natural way. Then the kernel of the map

$$\mathbf{M}\xrightarrow{\partial_x-\xi}\mathbf{M}$$

vanishes, and its cokernel is bijective with M as a set. Moreover, the cokernel inherits the structure of a $\mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$-module from $\mathbf{M}$: the action of ∂_ξ is induced by the operator $\partial_x+\partial_\xi-x-\xi$ on $\mathbf{M}$. Notice that the action of ∂_ξ on the cokernel is then induced by the action of $\partial_\xi-x$ on $\mathbf{M}$ too, for $\partial_x-\xi$ clearly acts trivially on its own cokernel. Therefore, for any $m\in M$, ∂_ξ applied to the class of the element $m\otimes 1$ in $coker(\partial_x-\xi)$ is $(\partial_\xi-x)\cdot(m\otimes 1)=-x\cdot m$, so the action of ∂_ξ on the cokernel is equal to the action of $-x$ on M. Similarly, the class of $m\otimes 1$ in $coker(\partial_x-\xi)$ is annihilated by $\partial_x-\xi$, so the action of ξ on the cokernel is by ∂_x. Hence, we get the transformation given by (9-10).

3. Meromorphic connections and $\mathcal{D}$-modules

In this section we give the link between the objects studied in the previous sections. Let (E,∇) be a holomorphic bundle endowed with a meromorphic integrable connection on $\mathbf{CP}^1$, with arbitrary poles in $P\cup\{\infty\}$. Denote by $E(*P\cup\{\infty\})$ the meromorphic bundle $E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}(*P\cup\{\infty\})$ with (arbitrary) poles in $P\cup\{\infty\}$. By definition, ∇ acts on $E(*P\cup\{\infty\})$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$ the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathbf{CP}^1$: it is the sheaf whose local sections are of the form $\sum_i a_i(t)\partial_t^i$, with t a local holomorphic coordinate and a_i holomorphic functions. $E(*P\cup\{\infty\})$ then becomes a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-module in the usual way: a holomorphic function $a(t)$ acting by multiplication, and ∂_t acting by $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t}$. This $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-module is called the meromorphic bundle associated to (E,∇), denoted $\mathcal{M}$. It has the following properties:

1. It is a *holonomic* module, i.e. any local section is annihilated by a local differential operator.
2. It has only regular singularities at finite distance, and an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1 at infinity.

Here is a fundamental notion that will underlie our construction:

Definition 3.1. — The $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-module $\mathcal{N}$ is said to be an *extension* of $\mathcal{M}$ if the meromorphic bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}(*P\cup\{\infty\})\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}}\mathcal{N}$ induced by $\mathcal{N}$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}$. An extension $\mathcal{N}$ is called *minimal* if it admits no submodule or quotient module supported in a point.

A minimal extension always exists, and in our case it is unique up to isomorphism by Lemma 3.5; it will usually be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{min}$.

3.1. Regular singularities. — We will now recall the local structure of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-modules with parabolic structure in the case of a regular singularity. In this section, we fix a $p\in P$, a small disk $\Delta\subset\mathbf{C}$ centered at p and containing no other element of P, and we suppose that the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ has a regular singularity in p. $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ will stand for $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ and $\mathcal{D}_\Delta$ respectively.

Definition 3.2. — A lattice of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}|_\Delta$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}$-module F such that $F\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}(*\{p\})=\mathcal{M}|_\Delta$.

If a lattice is chosen for $\mathcal{M}$, then there is a well-defined residue map of ∇:

$$\mathrm{Res}(\nabla) : F|_p \longrightarrow F|_p$$

induced by the action of $x\partial_x$. Modifying F by a $\otimes\mathcal{O}(k\{p\})$ amounts to adding $-k$ to all eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$. By Deligne's theorem, it is possible to choose a lattice F such that ∇ be a logarithmic connection with respect to F and all eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ lie in the interval $]-1,0]$. Hence, we obtain a finite set $\mathfrak{A}_j$ of complex numbers with real part in $]-1,0]$, such that $\mathfrak{A}_j + \mathbf{Z}$ is the set of eigenvalues of the residue of ∇ on the various logarithmic lattices of $\mathcal{M}$. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_j$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, the generalized eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues α and $\alpha+m$ on the corresponding lattices are isomorphic through the (possibly meromorphic) gauge transformation $(x-p)^m$. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_j + \mathbf{Z}$, let $\psi_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}$ stand for the generalized eigenspace of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ on the corresponding lattice. In other words, there exists an isomorphism

$$(x-p)^m : \psi_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \psi_p^{\alpha+m}\mathcal{M}.$$

The assumption that the parabolic structure in p is compatible with the connection means precisely that for all eigenvalues μ_k^j of A^j it defines a decreasing exhaustive filtration $\psi_{p_j}^{\mu_k^j,\bullet}\mathcal{M}$ indexed by $\beta \in [0,1[$. These filtrations then extend to filtrations indexed by real numbers in an interval of length 1 $\psi_p^{\alpha,\bullet}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_j + \mathbf{Z}$ by declaring that the above isomorphisms restrict to filtered isomorphisms

$$(x-p)^m : \psi_p^{\alpha,\beta}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \psi_p^{\alpha+m,\beta+m}\mathcal{M}. \tag{11}$$

The set of the filtrations $\psi_p^{\alpha,\bullet}$ satisfying the isomorphisms (11) is called the parabolic filtration of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark 3.3. — By admissibility of the parabolic structure of E and because ∇ is resonance-free, the filtration $\psi_p^{0,\bullet}$ is the canonical filtration (i.e. $\mathrm{gr}^0\psi_p^{0,\bullet} = \psi_p^0$).

We now come to the study of the minimal extension $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. By general theory, for any α such that $\Re(\alpha) > -1$ there is an isomorphism between $\psi_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}$ and $\psi_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{min}$. The same thing holds for $\Re(\alpha) \leq -1$ if

$\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$. All the difference between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ is in the space $\psi_{p_j}^{-1}$. For any $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{N}$ with regular singularities, ∂_x and x induce maps

$$\mathrm{can}_0 : \psi_p^0\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{N} \qquad\qquad \mathrm{var}_0 : \psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \psi_p^0\mathcal{N}$$

respectively. It can be shown that $\mathcal{N}$ is a minimal extension if and only if can_0 is surjective and var_0 is injective.

Lemma 3.4. — *Under the assumptions on ∇, the space $\psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{min}$ vanishes.*

Proof. — We have $\mathrm{var}_0 \circ \mathrm{can}_0 = x\partial_x$, the residue of ∇ on $\psi_p^0\mathcal{M}_{min} = \psi_p^0\mathcal{M}$. Since ∇ is resonance-free, this latter space is equal to the generalized eigenspace of ∇ corresponding to the 0-eigenvalue. Because the residue is supposed to be semi-simple, its action on its 0-generalized eigenspace is trivial. It follows that $\mathrm{var}_0 \circ \mathrm{can}_0 = 0$, in other words $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{can}_0) \subset \ker(\mathrm{var}_0)$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ is a minimal extension, $\ker(\mathrm{var}_0) = \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{can}_0) = \psi_{p_j}^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{min}$, and the lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.5. — *Under the assumptions on ∇, the minimal extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. — By the previous lemma, the minimal extension has the following universal property: for any extension $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ there exists an inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{min} \to \mathcal{N}$. Indeed, it comes from the obvious inclusion

$$\psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{min} = \{0\} \longrightarrow \psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{N},$$

which is clearly compatible with can_0 and var_0. Suppose now that $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ and $\mathcal{M}'_{min}$ are two minimal extensions. Then, there exists an inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{min} \to \mathcal{M}'_{min}$, which is an isomorphism away from p, because both $\mathcal{D}$-modules are extensions of the same holomorphic bundle. The kernel (cokernel) of this map is therefore a submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ (a quotient module of $\mathcal{M}'_{min}$) supported in p. By minimality of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ ($\mathcal{M}'_{min}$), the kernel (cokernel) of the map vanishes. □

For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_j \cup \{-1\}$, the isomorphisms $\psi_p^\alpha\mathcal{M} \approx \psi_p^\alpha\mathcal{M}_{min}$ for $\alpha \neq -1$ and $\psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{min} = 0$ together with the parabolic filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$ induce filtrations on the $\psi_p^\alpha \mathcal{M}_{min}$. The set of these filtrations is called the parabolic filtration of the minimal extension module.

Remark 3.6. — The data of the filtration on $\psi_p^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{min}$ is in our case clearly superfluous; however, in the general case it has to be included in the data of a parabolic filtration, see [**5**].

Finally, let us give the admissibility condition of the parabolic structure in terms of the meromorphic connection. By resonance-freeness of ∇, the space $\psi_p^0\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the 0-eigenspace of ∇. Then admissibility of the parabolic structure means that restricted to $\psi_p^0\mathcal{M}$ it is the canonical structure, and moreover for any $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$, 0 is not a weight for $\psi_p^\alpha\mathcal{M}$.

3.2. Irregular singularity. — Here — although it could be possible to work in a more general framework,— we restrict to the special case of a Poincaré rank 1 irregular singularity with diagonalizable polar part, as described in Section 1.

Let us take a trivialization of the bundle E near infinity in which it is of the form (2). Then, the germ of connection $\nabla - Adz$ has regular singularity. Denote by $\widehat{\psi}_\infty^\mu$ the generalized eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue μ of this connection. The germ of connection $\nabla - Adz$ decomposes as direct sum of the n' germs of connections with regular singularity $d + C_l dz/z$ for $l = 1, \dots, n'$ where C_l is the diagonal matrix $diag(\mu_{1+a_l}^\infty, \dots, \mu_{a_{1+l}}^\infty)$ of size $a_{1+l} - a_l$. Since ∇ is supposed to be resonance-free at infinity, none of the μ_k^∞ can be an integer.

Definition 3.7. — A parabolic structure at infinity is the data of parabolic structures for all of the regular singularities $d + C_l dz/z$ for $l = 1, \dots, n'$. The parabolic structure at infinity is said to be admissible if all the parabolic structures of the $d + C_l dz/z$ are admissible.

Remark 3.8. — If the connection is supposed to be resonance-free at infinity, then admissibility is equivalent to the condition that 0 is not a parabolic weight at infinity.

As in the regular case, the parabolic structure on the meromorphic bundle induces a parabolic structure on the minimal extension.

3.3. Stability. — Once a parabolic structure of a $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ in all singularities (including infinity) is given, it is possible to define its degree:

$$\deg(\mathcal{M}) = -\sum_{p\in P\cup\{\infty\}}\sum_{\beta\in[0,1[}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}_p+\mathbf{Z}} \alpha \dim(\mathrm{gr}^{\beta}\psi_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}),$$

and its parabolic degree:

$$\text{par-deg}(\mathcal{M}) = \deg(\mathcal{M}) + \sum_{p\in P\cup\{\infty\}}\sum_{\beta\in[0,1[} \beta \dim(\mathrm{gr}_p^{\beta}\mathcal{M}).$$

Finally, as usual, we define the (parabolic) slope of $\mathcal{M}$ as the quotient of its parabolic degree and its rank. This then allows us to define the notion of stability:

Definition 3.9. — A $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with a parabolic structure is said to be parabolically stable if all nontrivial submodules $\mathcal{N}$ have slope smaller than $\mathcal{M}$.

Here $\mathcal{N}$ is endowed with the induced parabolic filtration:

$$\psi_p^{\alpha,\beta}\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_p \cap \psi_p^{\alpha,\beta}\mathcal{M}.$$

4. Minimal parabolic Laplace transform

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is the meromorphic bundle associated to a meromorphic connection on a holomorphic bundle, and $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ its minimal extension, they are both modules over the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}(*\infty)$ of meromorphic differential operators with a pole at infinity. For $\mathcal{M}$ this is clear, and for $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ it follows because it only differs from $\mathcal{M}$ in $P\cup\{\infty\}$, and in such a way that in every singularity the action of ∂_x is onto the fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$. The global sections of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}(*\infty)$ form the Weyl algebra $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$, therefore the sets M and M_{min} respectively of global sections on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ admit a $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module structure. A parabolic structure of a $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module is defined to be a parabolic structure of the corresponding $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-module obtained by localization. It is clear that if a parabolic $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module N is the (globalisation of the) minimal extension of two parabolic meromorphic bundles $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$, then $\mathcal{M}_1$ is parabolically isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_2$. Therefore, it is meaningful to define a minimal extension $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module M_{min} to be stable by the condition that the underlying meromorphic bundle is stable. Similarly, M_{min} is said to be of parabolic degree 0 if the underlying meromorphic bundle is of parabolic degree 0.

Let us introduce the following categories:

- $\mathrm{HP}(\mathbf{C})$, whose objects are holomorphic bundles with meromorphic connection (E, ∇) over $\mathbf{CP}^1$, with resonance-free semi-simple logarithmic singularities in P, and a resonance-free irregular singularity of rank 1 at infinity with diagonalizable polar part, endowed with an admissible parabolic structure in all singular points
- $\mathrm{DMP}(\mathbf{C})$, whose objects are holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{CP}^1}$-modules with the same type of singularities as $\mathrm{HP}(\mathbf{C})$, with an admissible parabolic structure in all singular points
- $\mathrm{WMP}(\mathbf{C})$, whose objects are holonomic $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-modules with the same type of singularities as $\mathrm{HP}(\mathbf{C})$, with an admissible parabolic structure in all singular points

Morphisms in $\mathrm{HP}(\mathbf{C})$ are holomorphic bundle maps compatible with connections and parabolic structure; in $\mathrm{DMP}(\mathbf{C})$, maps of $\mathcal{D}$-modules compatible with parabolic structure; and in $\mathrm{WMP}(\mathbf{C})$, maps of $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-modules compatible with parabolic structure. Let us also introduce the sub-categories $\mathrm{HP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$, $\mathrm{DMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{WMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$ of stable objects of parabolic degree 0.

There exists a functor "minimal extension" from $\mathrm{DMP}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{WMP}(\mathbf{C})$

$$\text{min. ext.} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow M_{min},$$

which restricts by definition to a functor from $\mathrm{DMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{WMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$. On the other hand, there exists a functor "localization away from the singularities" from $\mathrm{WMP}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{DMP}(\mathbf{C})$. By definition, this maps a $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module to the extension of its localization as a meromorphic bundle. It can be shown that these two functors are quasi-inverses of each other. Furthermore, by results of C. Simpson [**7**] and O. Biquard and Ph. Boalch [**1**], there exists also an equivalence between the categories $\mathrm{HP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{DMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C})$.

In Section 2 we defined Laplace transform $\widehat{M}$ for a $\mathbf{C}[x]\langle\partial_x\rangle$-module M.

Definition 4.1. — The minimal Laplace transform of a meromorphic bundle $\mathcal{M}$ with connection is the meromorphic bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ obtained by applying localization to the $\mathbf{C}[\xi]\langle\partial_\xi\rangle$-module $\widehat{M_{min}}$.

In other words, minimal Laplace transform for meromorphic bundles with connection is defined by requiring that the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C}) \arrow[r, bend left=5, "\text{minimal Laplace}"] \arrow[d, bend right=5, "\text{min. ext}"'] & \mathrm{DMP}_0^{st}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}) \arrow[l, bend left=5, "\text{inverse minimal Laplace}"] \arrow[d, bend right=5, "\text{min. ext.}"'] \\
\mathrm{WMP}_0^{st}(\mathbf{C}) \arrow[r, bend left=5, "\text{Laplace}"] \arrow[u, bend right=5, "\text{loc.}"'] & \mathrm{WMP}_0^{st}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}) \arrow[l, bend left=5, "\text{inverse Laplace}"] \arrow[u, bend right=5, "\text{loc.}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

4.1. Parabolic transform. — The transform defined above is compatible with admissible parabolic structures: if an admissible parabolic structure is given on $\mathcal{M}$, then we can define one on its minimal Laplace transform $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ by the following construction.

1. In regular singularities: these are the eigenvalues $\{\xi_1, \ldots \xi_{n'}\}$ of A, and by formal stationary phasis (Prop. V.3.6, [**6**]), for all $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$ there exists a formal isomorphism

   $$\widehat{\psi}^\alpha_\infty \mathcal{M}_{min} \cong \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n'} \psi^\alpha_{\xi_l} \widehat{\mathcal{M}},$$

   where we recall that $\widehat{\psi}^\alpha_\infty$ is the generalized eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue α of the "regular part" $\nabla - Adz$ of the connection at infinity. The parabolic filtration on the left hand side therefore defines one on the right-hand side. Finally, on the 0-eigenspaces of the residue in ξ_l, we put the canonical parabolic structure.

2. At infinity: similarly to the regular case, formal stationary phasis applied to the inverse Laplace transform, for all $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$ there exists a formal isomorphism

$$\widehat{\psi}^{\alpha}_{\infty}\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \psi^{\alpha}_{p_j}\mathcal{M}_{min},$$

and the parabolic filtrations on the direct summands on the right-hand side induce a parabolic filtration on the space on the left-hand side.

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 4.2. — *The diagram of functors*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
HP_0^{st}(\mathbf{C}) \arrow[r, shift left, "Nahm"] \arrow[d, shift right] & HP_0^{st}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}) \arrow[l, shift left, "inverse\ Nahm"] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
DMP_0^{st}(\mathbf{C}) \arrow[r, shift left, "minimal\ Laplace"] \arrow[u, shift right] & DMP_0^{st}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}) \arrow[l, shift left, "inverse\ minimal\ Laplace"] \arrow[u, shift right]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Remark 4.3. — The coincidence at the level of ranks follows by comparing the rank formulae for Laplace (Proposition V.1.5. of [**4**]) and Nahm transforms (formula (1.10) of [**8**]).

5. Proof – outline

First, for any $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ set

$$\nabla_{\xi} = \nabla - \xi dx,$$

and call this operator the twisted integrable connection. The first ingredient of the proof is an identification of the L^2-cohomology $L^2H^1(D_{\xi})$ of

(7) with a hypercohomology. Concretely, denote the sheaf of holomorphic sections of the vector bundle E also by E, and let F be the sheaf over $\mathbf{CP}^1$ whose local sections are meromorphic sections of $E \otimes \mathbf{\Omega}^1$ with at most a double pole at infinity and at most a simple pole in the points of P, such that the residue in a point $p_j \in P$ be in $\mathrm{Im}(A^j)$. We then have a sheaf map

$$\nabla_\xi : E \longrightarrow F. \tag{12}$$

For any sheaf complex $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathbf{CP}^1$, write $\mathbf{H}^m(\mathcal{C})$ for its hypercohomology of degree m. Recall that we denote by $\widehat{P}$ the set $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n'}\}$ of regular singularities of the transformed object. For $j = 1, 2$, denote by π_j projection to the j-th factor in the product $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$. Recall (Section 1) that Nahm transform of a holomorphic bundle (E, ∇) with meromorphic connection on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic bundle $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{\nabla})$ with meromorphic connection on $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$. It admits an extension as a meromorphic bundle in the singular locus $\widehat{P} \cup \widehat{\infty}$. On the other hand, the holomorphic bundle of first hypercohomologies $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F)$ on $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ also admits a holomorphic extension to $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$: it is defined as the sections whose value in ξ_l is in the finite-dimensional vector space $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\xi_l}} F)$. In particular, this holomorphic extension also induces a meromorphic extension. First, we show:

Proposition 5.1. — *For $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$, the vector spaces $\widehat{E}_\xi = L^2H^1(D_\xi)$ and $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F)$ have the same dimension. The corresponding holomorphic bundles over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ are isomorphic. Finally, the natural meromorphic extensions to $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ are also isomorphic.*

The next step is:

Proposition 5.2. — *The first hypercohomology $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F)$ is isomorphic to the fiber $(\widehat{M_{min}})_\xi$ of the minimal Laplace transform in ξ. The corresponding holomorphic bundles over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ are isomorphic. Finally, the natural meromorphic extensions over $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ are also isomorphic.*

These two propositions together imply that the underlying meromorphic bundles of the two transforms are isomorphic.

We also get a description of $\widehat{\nabla}$ in terms of hypercohomology. On the pullback bundle π_1^*E over $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$, introduce the connection $\nabla_\bullet$ relative to $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ whose restriction to the fiber $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \{\xi\}$ is $\pi_1^*\nabla - \xi dx$. According to Proposition 5.1, the fibers of the holomorphic bundle $\widehat{E}$ over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ are isomorphic to the global cokernel spaces on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ of the map

$$\nabla_\bullet : \pi_1^*E \longrightarrow \pi_1^*F. \tag{13}$$

Denote by $\widehat{d}$ the trivial holomorphic structure relative to $\mathbf{CP}^1$ on π_1^*F.

Proposition 5.3. — *The transformed holomorphic integrable connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ over $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ is the quotient by (13) of the connection $\widehat{d} - xd\xi$ relative to $\mathbf{CP}^1$.*

This, coupled with the interpretation of Laplace transform as a cokernel, shows that over the open set $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ the connection of the Nahm transform is mapped to the ∂_ξ-action of the minimal Laplace transform.

We also need to show that the minimal extension over the singularities of the meromorphic bundle with connection associated to $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{\nabla})$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{M_{min}}$. Since they are equal over the open set $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$ and by unicity up to isomorphism of the minimal extension of a meromorphic bundle with connection (Lemma 3.5), it is enough for this to prove:

Proposition 5.4. — *The Laplace transform of the minimal extension of the meromorphic bundle with connection associated to a stable holomorphic connection of degree* 0 *is again a minimal extension in all regular singularities.*

It remains to compare the parabolic structures of the transformed objects:

Proposition 5.5. — *The parabolic structure of $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{\nabla})$ is mapped under this correspondence into the one defined in Subsection 4.1.*

6. Proof of the propositions

6.1. Proposition 5.1. — Consider the double complex

(14)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^2(\Omega^{0,1}\otimes E) \arrow[r, "\nabla_\xi"] & L^2(\Omega^{1,1}\otimes E) \\
L^2(E) \arrow[u, "\bar{\partial}^E"] \arrow[r, "\nabla_\xi"] & L^2(\Omega^{1,0}\otimes E) \arrow[u, "\bar{\partial}^E"'] \\
E \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\nabla_\xi"] & F \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We use the convention that whenever L^2 is written at a particular place of a diagram, it is meant to be the intersection of the domains of the operators corresponding to all arrows that point out from that place.

It is sufficient to show two statements:

Lemma 6.1. — *For all $\xi \notin \widehat{P}$ the vertical arrows in (14) are sheaf resolutions.*

Lemma 6.2. — *The first cohomology of the simple complex associated to (14) is equal to $L^2H^1(D_\xi)$.*

Indeed, Lemma 6.1 then implies that the first hypercohomology of $E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F$ is isomorphic to the first cohomology of the simple complex associated to (14), and Lemma 6.2 allows to deduce isomorphism of the fibers.

Proposition 3.5 of [**8**] gives the holomorphic structure of $\widehat{E}$: on the open set $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$, it is the quotient in L^2-cohomology of the trivial holomorphic structure relative to $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$ of π_1^*E. On the other hand, we defined the holomorphic structure on the bundle of first hypercohomologies $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F)$ as the quotient of the trivial holomorphic structure on F relative to $\widehat{\mathbf{CP}}^1$. This yields equality of the holomorphic structures away from singularities.

Finally, in order to prove that the meromorphic extensions agree, it is sufficient to show that the L^2-norms of harmonic 1-forms whose limit as $\xi \to \xi_l$ (respectively $\xi \to \widehat{\infty}$) is a vector of the space $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\xi_l}} F)$, is of moderate growth. Indeed, in Section 1 the holomorphic extension of the Nahm transformed bundle $\widehat{E}$ to the singularities is defined by the condition that the L^2-norms of harmonic representatives be bounded; hence, meromorphic sections near a singular point are exactly the ones having at most polynomial growth. We only treat the case of a singularity at finite distance ξ_l, the case of infinity being analogous. In Section 4.6 of [**8**], we constructed explicitly a holomorphic family of *asymptotically harmonic* 1-forms $\hat{\sigma}(\xi)$ in ξ, i.e. such that the L^2-norm of $\Delta_\xi \hat{\sigma}(\xi)$ is negligible compared to the L^2-norm of $\hat{\sigma}(\xi)$ (their quotient converges to 0 as $\xi \to \xi_l$), and such that in a neighborhood of ξ_l they match up to give a holomorphic local section for the holomorphic structure of the Higgs bundle associated to $\widehat{E}$. We give an outline of that construction. Call $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ the Higgs bundle associated to (E, ∇), and set $D''_\xi = \bar{\partial}^{\mathcal{E}} + (\theta - \xi dx/2)$. Define the spectral points corresponding to ξ as the set of all $x \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\det(\theta(x) - \xi dx/2) = 0$. Some of these points converge to infinity asymptotically to constant multiples of $(\xi - \xi_l)^{-1}$ as $\xi \to \xi_l$, others remain bounded. Denote by $\chi(r)$ the standard Gaussian function on the plane as a function of the radius, cut-off smoothly outside the disk of radius $r > 1$ so that it is supported on the disk of radius 2. Let $\{\sigma_k^\infty\}$ be a holomorphic trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ near infinity such that the Higgs field has diagonal polar part, and choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Define the E-valued $(1,0)$-form on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ for every ξ close to ξ_l by the formula

$$v_k^l(\xi, z)dz = \chi(\varepsilon_0^{-1}|\xi - \xi_l|(z - q_k(\xi)))\sigma_k^\infty dz;$$

it is supported on a disk of radius $2\varepsilon_0|\xi - \xi_l|^{-1}$ centered at the spectral point $q_k(\xi)$. There exists an E-valued $(0,1)$-form $t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar{z}$ such that $D''_\xi(v_k^l(\xi, z)dz + t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar{z}) = 0$ for all ξ. We define $\hat{\sigma}_k^l(\xi, z)$ as the harmonic representative of this cohomology class. The family in ξ of the sections $v_k^l(\xi, z)dz + t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar{z}$ is asymptotically harmonic, so that the L^2-norm on $\mathbf{C}$ of $\hat{\sigma}_k^l(\xi, z)$ is asymptotically the same as that of $v_k^l(\xi, z)dz + t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar{z}$. These latter are very close to the L^2-norms of Gaussian functions normalized polynomially and of at most polynomial height with respect to $|\xi - \xi_l|^{-1}$, and is therefore bounded from above by a polynomial in $|\xi - \xi_l|^{-1}$.

The situation for the holomorphic structure $\bar\partial^{\widehat{E}}$ is similar: individually the fibers $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\xi$ and $\widehat{E}_\xi$ are isomorphic, only the holomorphic structures are different. If in the definition of $v_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar z$ we replace the $\bar\partial^{\mathcal{E}}$-holomorphic section σ_k^∞ around infinity by the $\bar\partial^E$-holomorphic section τ_k^∞ and we define $t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar z$ by the same formula as above, then the 1-forms $v_k^l(\xi, z)dz + t_k^l(\xi, z)d\bar z$ are still asymptotically harmonic, but their cohomology classes form a $\bar\partial^{\widehat{E}}$-holomorphic section in ξ, including in the point ξ_l. The same argument as above then shows that the growth of the L^2-norm of these sections is at most polynomial. This finishes the proof of the proposition, up to lemmata 6.1 and 6.1.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. — This is analogous to Lemma 4.12 of [**8**], where the same statement is proved for Higgs bundles. As the problem is local, and away from singularities all spaces involved are usual L^2-spaces (so exactness is guaranteed by usual L^2-theory), we focus on a neighborhood of a singular point that we may suppose to be a disk.

Let us first treat the column on the left, near a regular singular point $p = p_j$. Fix a holomorphic local trivialization $e_1, \dots e_r$ of E in which the connection can be written $\nabla = d + A^j dz/(z - p_j)$ up to holomorphic terms, where A_j is the matrix in (1). In all what follows, we will omit the index j of the singularity. Let $e = \sum_k \varphi_k e_k$ be a local L^2 section of E in the kernel of $\bar\partial^E$: this means that the φ_k are meromorphic functions. Recall that the L^2 condition is measured with respect to the metric h, and this latter has asymptotic behavior bounded with $diag(|z-p|^{2\beta_k})$, where $0 < \beta_k < 1$ for $r_j < k$, and $\beta_k = 0$ otherwise. Hence the condition $e \in L^2$ only implies that the φ_k have at most a simple pole in p for $r_j < k$. Let us write their Laurent-series:

$$\varphi_k(z) = \varphi_{k,-1}\frac{1}{z - p_j} + \varphi_{k,0} + \varphi_{k,1}(z - p_j) + \dots$$

where $\varphi_{k,-1}$ vanishes for $k \le r_j$. By assumption, we also have $\nabla_\xi e \in L^2$. By the local form of ∇ in the trivialization $e_1, \dots e_r$, the coefficient of $e_k dz$ for $r_j < k$ in $\nabla_\xi e$ is

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\mu_k}{z - p}\right)\varphi_k(z) + \sum_{l=1}^{r} h_l(z)\varphi_l(z),$$

where the h_l are holomorphic functions. Plugging the Laurent-expansion into this yields

$$(\mu_k - 1)\frac{\varphi_{k,-1}}{(z-p)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{z-p}\right),$$

where $O(\cdot)$ denotes terms with at most a simple pole, depending on $\varphi_{l,s}$, μ_l and h_l. Such a 1-form is in L^2 if and only if $(\mu_k - 1)\varphi_{k,-1} = 0$. Since ∇ is resonance-free, this is equivalent to $\varphi_{k,-1} = 0$, in different terms holomorphicity of φ_k. On the other hand, for $k \leq r_j$, the coefficient of $e_k dz$ in $\nabla_\xi e$ is

$$\varphi_{k,1} + O(z-p),$$

in particular holomorphic. The sum of finitely many such expressions is again holomorphic. This proves that e is in the L^2-domain of ∇ if and only if it is holomorphic, hence the left column is exact in the term $L^2(E)$.

Let us now study the second term of this column: given a section $f = \sum_k \phi_k e_k dz$ of $L^2(\Omega^{0,1} \otimes E)$, we would like to show that there exists an L^2 section $e = \sum_k \varphi_k e_k$ of E such that $\nabla_\xi e \in L^2$ and $\bar{\partial}^E e = f$. As $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ is a holomorphic trivialization (in particular, $\bar{\partial}^E$ is diagonal), we may suppose that $f = \phi_k e_k$ for some k. For $k \leq r_j$, the usual L^2 Dolbeault lemma gives the result. For $r_j < k$, the section $\phi_k e_k$ is in L^2 with respect to h if and only if $\phi_k |z-p|^{\beta_k}$ is an L^2 function. By admissibility of the parabolic structure in this case we have $\beta_k > 0$, so the parabolic L^2 Dolbeault lemma (Claim 4.13 of [**8**]) implies that there exists φ_k such that $\bar{\partial}\varphi_k = \phi_k$ and $\varphi_k |z-p|^{\beta_k - 1} \in L^2$; whence surjectivity of $\bar{\partial}^E$. This finishes the proof of exactness of the left column.

All the other statements contained in the lemma (i.e. that the right column is also exact in the regular singularities and that both columns are exact near infinity) are immediate modifications of the same arguments. We leave details to the reader. □

Proof of Lemma 6.2. — The corresponding statement for Higgs bundles is Proposition 4.7 of [**8**].

As the differential of the simple complex associated to (14) is D_ξ, this is purely a question of domains. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ the simple complex associated to (14). An element of the degree 1 term $\mathcal{C}_\xi^1$ of $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ is represented by a sum

$$f^{0,1}d\bar{z} + f^{1,0}dz \in L^2(\Omega^{0,1} \otimes E) \oplus L^2(\Omega^{1,0} \otimes E)$$

such that $\nabla_\xi f^{0,1}d\bar{z} \in L^2(\Omega^{1,1} \otimes E)$ and $\bar{\partial}^E f^{1,0}dz \in L^2(\Omega^{1,1} \otimes E)$. Obviously, one then also has $D_\xi(f^{0,1}d\bar{z} + f^{1,0}dz) \in L^2(\Omega^{1,1} \otimes E)$; in other words, there exists a tautological injection

$$\mathcal{C}^1_\xi \longrightarrow L^2(D_\xi)^1,$$

the latter space being the L^2 domain of D_ξ on 1-forms. Since terms of degree 0 of the complexes $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ and $L^2(D_\xi)$ are the same together with differentials, this injection induces an injection of degree 1 cohomology spaces

$$H^1(\mathcal{C}_\xi) \longrightarrow L^2H^1(D_\xi).$$

Let us show that this map is surjective too: for this, suppose $g = g^{0,1}d\bar{z} + g^{1,0}dz$ represents a cohomology class in $L^2H^1(D_\xi)$; by definition, this means that g is in L^2 and in the kernel of D_ξ. We would like to find a section $f = f^{0,1}d\bar{z} + f^{1,0}dz$ representing the same class, such that we have in addition $\nabla_\xi f^{0,1}d\bar{z} \in L^2(\Omega^{1,1} \otimes E)$ and $\bar{\partial}^E f^{1,0}dz \in L^2(\Omega^{1,1} \otimes E)$. Since this is guaranteed away from singularities by usual L^2-theory and because ∇_ξ is an isomorphism near infinity, it is sufficient to focus on a neighborhood of a regular singularity. In such a neighborhood, Lemma 6.1 allows us to find $\varphi \in L^2(E)$ such that $\bar{\partial}^E\varphi = g^{0,1}d\bar{z}$ and $\nabla_\xi\varphi \in L^2(\Omega^{1,0} \otimes E)$. The local L^2 section

$$f = (g^{0,1}d\bar{z} - \bar{\partial}^E\varphi) + (g^{1,0}dz - \nabla_\xi\varphi)$$

obviously satisfies the required conditions. □

6.2. Proposition 5.2. — First define a sequence of sub-sheaves of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$: for $m \geq 0$ set F_m for the sheaf of sections of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ with pole of order at most m in all singular points. These sheaves define an exhaustive filtration of $\mathcal{M}_{min}$:

$$E = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{M}_{min}$$

such that $\partial_x - \xi$ maps F_m into F_{m+1}. Notice that by definition $F = F_1 \otimes \mathbf{\Omega}^1$. Moreover, the quotient sheaves F_{m+1}/F_m are supported in the set of regular singular points P, and the stalk in p_j is of dimension $r - r_j$. Denote by $[\partial_x - \xi]$ the map induced by $\partial_x - \xi$ on these quotients.

Lemma 6.3. — *For all $m > 0$ the map*

$$[\partial_x - \xi] : F_m/F_{m-1} \longrightarrow F_{m+1}/F_m$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces.

Proof. — Since twisting by ξ does not modify the principal term of ∂_x, it is sufficient to show the result for $\xi = 0$. The stalk of F_m/F_{m-1} in $p \in P$ is isomorphic to the image of the residue of ∇ in p (up to the 1-form dz), and the same thing holds for F_{m+1}/F_m. The action of $[\partial_x]$ after this identification is the matrix $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla, p) - m \cdot \mathrm{Id}$. Since ∇ is resonance-free, this matrix admits no 0 eigenvalue. In different terms, it is an isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. — *The complexes $\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min}$ and $E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F$ of sheaves on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ are quasi-isomorphic.*

Proof. — Clearly, the complexes $E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F$ and $E \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} F_1$ are quasi-isomorphic. By the previous lemma, for all $m > 0$ the complexes $F_m \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} F_{m+1}$ and $F_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} F_m$ are quasi-isomorphic. Since $\mathcal{M}_{min} = \cup_m F_m$, the complex $\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min}$ is the inductive limit of its sub-complexes $F_m \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} F_{m+1}$. The sequence of these latter being stationary (up to quasi-isomorphism) for $m \geq 0$, we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $F_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} F_1$, hence to $E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F$. $\square$

By the lemma, the hypercohomologies $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} F)$ and $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min})$ are isomorphic. On the other hand, the hypercohomology long exact sequence gives a decomposition

$$\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min}) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(H^0(\mathcal{M}_{min}) \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} H^0(\mathcal{M}_{min})) \oplus \\ \mathrm{ker}(H^1(\mathcal{M}_{min}) \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} H^1(\mathcal{M}_{min})).$$

By definition, M_{min} is $H^0(\mathcal{M}_{min})$, and resonance-freeness at infinity implies that in a neighborhood of infinity the equality $\mathcal{M}_{min} =$

$\mathcal{M}_{min}(*\{\infty\})$ holds, i.e. locally at infinity $\mathcal{M}_{min}$ coincides with the meromorphic bundle. In particular, the first cohomology $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{min})$ vanishes, and it follows that

$$\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{M}_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} \mathcal{M}_{min}) \simeq \operatorname{coker}(M_{min} \xrightarrow{\partial_x - \xi} M_{min}).$$

This gives the fiber-wise statement of the proposition.

The holomorphic structure induced on the bundle $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla_\bullet} F)$ by taking the quotient of the trivial holomorphic structure of $\pi_1^* F$ relative to $\mathbf{CP}^1$ is mapped by the above isomorphism to the one induced by the trivial $\mathbf{C}[\xi]$-module structure of $\mathbf{M}_{min} = M_{min} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}[\xi]$ on the cokernel of $\partial_x - \xi$. This latter is by definition the holomorphic structure of $\widehat{M_{min}}$ on $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \widehat{P}$.

It remains to match the meromorphic extensions over the singularities. For this purpose, we need to study what happens to the isomorphism of Lemma 6.3 when $\xi \to \xi_l$ for some $\xi_l \in \widehat{P}$. For simplicity of the arguments, we suppose that $\widehat{P} = \{0\}$; the general case can be obtained by translating 0 to ξ_l and superposing meromorphic representatives as below with representatives independent of ξ. Furthermore, we only treat the meromorphic extension at 0, the case of $\widehat{\infty}$ being analogous.

Let $\{\tau_1^\infty, \dots, \tau_r^\infty\}$ be a trivialization of E around infinity in which ∇ is of the form (2). Since we suppose that $\widehat{P} = \{0\}$, this then means that in this trivialization we have $\nabla = d + C dz/z$ up to holomorphic terms. In a sufficiently fine covering of $\mathbf{CP}^1$, the first hypercohomology $\mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\nabla} F) = \mathbf{H}^1(E \xrightarrow{\partial_x} F_0)$ admits a free system composed of Čech cochains of the form

$$[(\tau_k^\infty, 0, \dots, 0), (\dots)] \in \check{C}^0(F) \oplus \check{C}^1(E), \tag{15}$$

where $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the only non-zero term of the 0-cochain being τ_k^∞ in the open set containing infinity, and the 1-cochain with values in E is chosen so that the total differential of the cochain vanish. Indeed, the hypercohomology classes defined by these cochains are non-vanishing, since the equation $(d + C dz/z) f = 1$ cannot be solved with f holomorphic in a neighborhood of infinity; moreover, these classes are clearly linearly independent, because the τ_k^∞ form a basis. A local holomorphic section of the holomorphic bundle $\widehat{E}$ for ξ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ is by definition a holomorphic local section around 0 whose limit in 0 exists and is equal to a linear combination of sections of the form (15). Similarly, a local holomorphic section of the bundle of first hypercohomologies $\mathbf{H}^1(F_m \xrightarrow{\partial_x} F_{m+1})$ is one that extends a holomorphic linear combination of sections of the form

$$[(z^m \tau_k^\infty, 0, \ldots, 0), (\ldots)],$$

because z^m has a pole of order m at infinity. The action of ∂_x on such a representative is

$$[(mz^{m-1}\tau_k^\infty + z^m \partial_x \tau_k^\infty, 0, \ldots, 0), (\ldots)].$$

Since ∂_x acts on τ_k^∞ by C/z and by resonance-freeness, the first coefficient of this section has a pole of order exactly $m-1$, hence its hypercohomology class in $\mathbf{H}^1(F_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_x} F_m)$ is non-vanishing. In other words, ∂_x induces an isomorphism

$$\partial_x : \mathbf{H}^1(F_m \xrightarrow{\partial_x} F_{m+1}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(F_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_x} F_m)$$

between the stalks in $\xi = 0$ of the corresponding holomorphic sections. It follows that the meromorphic extension induced by the holomorphic bundle $\widehat{E}$ is obtained as the inductive limit of the image of successive iterations of ∂_x^{-1}. On the other hand, we have already seen that ∂_x^{-1} acts as ξ^{-1} on the transformed bundle $\widehat{E}$. By Theorem 2.7 of [**6**], E generates the Laplace-transformed meromorphic bundle as a $\mathbf{C}[\xi^{-1}]$-module. We conclude equality of the meromorphic extensions.

6.3. Proposition 5.3. — Proposition 3.5 of [**8**] states that the transformed connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is induced on L^2-cohomology by taking the quotient of $\widehat{d} - x d\xi$. The isomorphism of Proposition 5.1 maps it to the connection induced by taking the quotient of $\widehat{d} - x d\xi$ in hypercohomology.

6.4. Proposition 5.4. — This is proved in Proposition 4.1 of [**5**]. For the sake of completeness, we give the idea of the proof here.

Let M be a stable meromorphic bundle of degree 0, and denote by $\widehat{M}$ its minimal Laplace transform. If $\widehat{M}$ is not a minimal extension, then it admits a sub-module or a quotient module supported in a point. This implies the existence of a sub-module or a quotient module of M with no pole at finite distance and with vanishing residue at infinity. The parabolic degree of this sub-module (or quotient module) is therefore 0, which is a contradiction with stability of M.

6.5. Proposition 5.5. — This follows from comparing Theorem 1.17 of [**8**] with the construction of Subsection 4.1.

References

[1] Biquard O., Boalch Ph. *Wild nonabelian Hodge theory on curves*, Compositio Mathematica (1) **140** (2004), 179-207

[2] Katz N. M., Laumon G. *Transformation de Fourier et majoration de sommes exponentielles*, Publ. Math. IHES **62** (1985)

[3] Hitchin N. J. *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), 59-126

[4] Malgrange B. *Équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux*, Progress in Mathematics **96**, Birkhäuser (1991)

[5] Sabbah C. *Transformation de Laplace pour les modules simplement exponentiels avec structure parabolique sur l'algèbre de Weyl*, downloadable from http://math.polytechnique.fr/∼sabbah/articles.html

[6] Sabbah C. *Déformations isomonodromiques et variétés de Frobenius*, Savoirs Actuels, CNRS Éditions & EDP Sciences, Paris (2002)

[7] Simpson C. *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Am. Math. Soc. (3) **3** (1990), 713-770

[8] Szabó Sz. *Nahm transform for integrable connections on the Riemann sphere*, to appear in Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France

---

Szilárd Szabó